

Parent Criterion: **Business Importance to the State**

This criterion will be used to assess the project's ability to support the core missions of the State.

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------------|--|
| Agency Impact | This criterion will be used to assess the project's ability to support the core mission of the agency. |

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|--|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project supports all of an agency's core mission and addresses all of a key objective from the agency's planning cycle. |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | This project supports most of an agency's core mission and addresses most of a key objective from the agency's planning cycle. |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project supports about half of an agency's core mission and addresses half of a key objective from the agency's planning cycle. |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project supports less than half of an agency's core mission and addresses less than half of a key objective from the agency's planning cycle. |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project does not support a core mission and/or the key objectives of the agency. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|---------------------|---|
| State Impact | This criterion will be used to assess the project's ability to support the core mission(s)/objective(s) of the State (e.g., multi-agency effort.) |

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project supports all of a state core mission and addresses all of a key enterprise objective from the planning cycle. |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | This project supports most of a state's core mission and addresses most of a key objective from the state's planning cycle. |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project supports half of a state's core mission and addresses half of a key objective from the state's planning cycle. |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project supports less than half of a state's core mission and addresses less than half of a key objective from the state's planning cycle. |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project does not support a core mission of the state and/or the key objectives from the planning cycle. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| Reduce Complexity / Increase Efficiencies | This criterion will be used to assess the project's ability to reduce complexity and increase efficiencies from an operational perspective. |
|--|---|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | The project removes or replaces manual processes with automation and streamlines information into a single data source. |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | The project reduces manual processes and consolidates information into fewer data sources and a future iteration will streamline information into a single data source. |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | The project reduces manual processes and consolidates information into fewer data sources. |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | The project removes or replaces manual processes with automation and has no impact to existing data sources. |
| 0% of points | 0 | The project does not impact or address existing manual processes and data sources. |

Parent Criterion: Enterprise Strategy and Alignment

This criterion is used to assess the project's alignment to the State strategy.

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|--|--|
| Alignment with Administration Goals | <p>This criterion will be used to assess if the project aligns with Governor's key priority areas. Priority areas contain various initiatives listed in Investing in Ohio's Future, http://budget.ohio.gov/default.aspx</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Children & Families 2. Local Communities 3. Natural Wonders 4. RecoveryOhio 5. Workforce & Innovation |
|--|--|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|--|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project completely aligns with one or more of the priority areas of the Governor's Initiatives |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | This project significantly aligns with one or more of the priority areas of the Governor's Initiatives |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project partially aligns with one or more of the priority areas of the Governor's Initiatives |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project minimally aligns with one of the priority areas of the Governor's Initiatives |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project does not align with any of the of the Governor's Initiatives |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|---|--|
| Alignment with Enterprise Technology | <p>This criterion will be used to assess the project's intent to align with Innovate Ohio's technology direction and roadmap. The Innovate Ohio roadmap includes these key objectives, https://www.mikedewine.com/innovationohio/</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Create the SmartOhio Operating System 2. Improve procurement 3. Modernize paper-to-digital records 4. Create an advisory council of Ohio business and technology leaders 5. Build a first of its kind public/private partnership 6. Create a competition of ideas to support innovative research <p>--Other focus areas within the Innovate Ohio roadmap include Technology, Talent, and Investment</p> |
|---|--|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project completely aligns with one or more of the key objectives in the Innovate Ohio technology roadmap. |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | This project significantly aligns with one or more of the key objectives in the Innovate Ohio technology roadmap. |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project partially aligns with one or more of the key objectives in the Innovate Ohio technology roadmap. |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project minimally aligns with one of the key objectives in the Innovate Ohio technology roadmap. |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project does not align with any of the Innovate Ohio technology objectives. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Data Intelligence | This criterion will be used to assess the project's ability to utilize data across state government to solve problems. |
|--------------------------|--|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project's primary objective is to make new significant data sets available for data sharing across multiple agencies, and ability to drive insights through predictive analytics, and benefit at least 2 of 3 customer groups: citizens, business and/or workforce |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | This project's primary objective is to make new significant data sets available for data sharing across multiple agencies, and ability to drive insights through predictive analytics, and benefit at least 1 of 3 customer groups: citizens, business and/or workforce |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project's primary objective is to improve existing data sets available for data sharing across multiple agencies, and ability to drive insights through predictive analytics, and benefit at least 2 of 3 customer groups: citizens, business and/or workforce |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project's primary objective is to improve existing data sets available for data sharing across multiple agencies, and ability to drive insights through predictive analytics, and benefit at least 1 of 3 customer groups: citizens, business and/or workforce |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project will not increase or improve data sets for any customer group. |

Parent Criterion: Feasibility/Risk

This criterion will be used to assess the project's feasibility of successfully implementing in terms of Technical Complexity, Cultural Acceptance, and Organizational Capacity.

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Organizational Readiness | This criterion will be used to assess the organizational readiness to execute the project. It will assess an organization's ownership of the project, cultural readiness, organizational change management assessment and plan, and funding. | |
| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
| 100% of points | 1 | The executing organization(s) has met all FOUR of the following: (1) clearly defined who will be accountable for both the project and outcomes, (2) has accessed the culture of the customers and has a plan to communicate and raise awareness and address concerns, (3) has plans to assess organizational change requirements and a commitment to address the requirements, and (4) has funding source(s) determined and a reasonable expectation of obtaining it. |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | The executing organization(s) has met THREE out of FOUR of the following: (1) clearly defined who will be accountable for both the project and outcomes, (2) has accessed the culture of the customers and has a plan to communicate and raise awareness and address concerns, (3) has plans to assess organizational change requirements and a commitment to address the requirements, and (4) has funding source(s) determined and a reasonable expectation of obtaining it. |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | The executing organization(s) has met TWO out of FOUR of the following: (1) clearly defined who will be accountable for both the project and outcomes, (2) has accessed the culture of the customers and has a plan to communicate and raise awareness and address concerns, (3) has plans to assess organizational change requirements and a commitment to address the requirements, and (4) has funding source(s) determined and a reasonable expectation of obtaining it. |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | The executing organization(s) has met ONE out of FOUR of the following: (1) clearly defined who will be accountable for both the project and outcomes, (2) has accessed the culture of the customers and has a plan to communicate and raise awareness and address concerns, (3) has plans to assess organizational change requirements and a commitment to address the requirements, and (4) has funding source(s) determined and a reasonable expectation of obtaining it. |
| 0% of points | 0 | None of the organizational readiness items have been addressed. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|--|---|
| Legal/Political Considerations: | This criterion will be used to assess the project's impact on political and legal compliance associated with doing/not doing the project. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------------------|---|
| <i>Impact of Not Doing</i> | This criterion will be used to determine the impact of NOT doing the project. |

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project is required by federal or state law, regulation or mandate and the state will be significantly fined/penalized (will exceed costs of implementing within 5 years) if it does not meet the requirements in a timely fashion; AND/OR the state will miss out on a significant opportunity (matching money, revenue generation, signification grant) that far outweighs the costs of the initiative (5 times the implementation costs). |
| 75% of points | 0.75 | This project is required by federal or state law, regulation or mandate and the state will be fined/penalized (will exceed costs of implementing within 10 years) if it does not meet the requirements in a timely fashion; AND/OR the state will miss out on a significant opportunity (matching money, revenue generation, signification grant) that far outweighs the costs of the initiative (2 times the implementation costs). |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project is required to meet a significant customer need (citizen, business or workforce) and will result in severe customer backlash if not implemented. |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project is required to meet a customer need (citizen, business or workforce) and will result in customer backlash if not implemented. |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project is not required by law, regulation or mandate and will not result in customer backlash if not implemented. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|------------------------|--|
| <i>Impact of Doing</i> | This criterion will be used to assess the impact of executing the project. |

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|--|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project responds directly to political or cultural pressures, or emergency/urgent changes that affect customer expectations or capability usefulness AND is well within the executing organization's abilities and resources to accomplish. |
| 66% of points | 0.66 | This project responds directly to political or cultural pressures, or emergency/urgent changes that affect customer expectations or capability usefulness AND is within the executing organization's abilities and resources to accomplish with minor changes to priorities and allocations. |
| 33% of points | 0.33 | This project responds directly to political or cultural pressures, or emergency/urgent changes that affect customer expectations or capability usefulness AND is stretching the executing organization's abilities and resources to accomplish AND will require significant changes to priorities and allocations. |

Parent Criterion: Financial

This criterion will be used to assess the project's financial contribution. The extent the project uses other fund sources, reduces cost for the state, or captures new or unrealized revenue.

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Reduces Costs | This criterion is calculated based on the amount of cost reduction by the end of the upcoming biennium as a proportion of total investment. To get the full points in this criterion, projects must reduce costs by at least 2x the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium [Benefits]. |
|----------------------|---|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project reduces costs by at least twice the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium. |
| 80% of points | 0.8 | This project reduces costs in an amount that is less than twice the amount of the investment, but is more than the point of break even. |
| 50% of points | 0.5 | This project reduces cost by the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium (breaks even). |
| 25% of points | 0.25 | This project reduces cost by less than the amount of the investment. |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project does not reduce costs. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Revenue Generation | This criterion is calculated based on the amount of new or unrealized revenue captured by the end of the upcoming biennium as a proportion of total investment. To get the full points in this criterion, projects must capture at least 5x the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium [Opportunities]. |
|---------------------------|--|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|----------------|-------|---|
| 100% of points | 1 | This project captures five times the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium. |
| 80% of points | 0.8 | This project captures between four and five times the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium. |
| 60% of points | 0.6 | This project captures between three and four times the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium. |
| 40% of points | 0.4 | This project captures between two and three times the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium. |
| 20% of points | 0.2 | This project captures between less than two times the amount of the investment by the end of the biennium. |
| 0% of points | 0 | This project captures none of the investment by the end of the biennium. |

| Criterion Name | Criterion Definition |
|----------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|

| | |
|--|--|
| Leverages Federal/Grant Funding | This criterion is to calculate the degree to which projects are funded by federal or grant dollars. Projects that are fully funded by federal or grant sources receive full points [% of funding]. |
|--|--|

| Rating | Value | Scale Definition |
|--------|-------|------------------|
|--------|-------|------------------|

| | | |
|--------------------------|------|--|
| 100% of points Funded | 1 | This project is fully funded by federal or grant sources. |
| 75% < > 100% Funded | 0.75 | This project is funded 75% > < 100% by federal or grant sources. |
| 25% < > 75% Funded | 0.5 | This project is funded 25% > < 75% by federal or grant sources. |
| 0 < > 25% Funded | 0.25 | This project is funded < 25% by federal or grant sources. |
| 0% Funded or N/A | 0 | This project is not funded by federal or grant sources. |